



Addendum #2

TO: All Proponents

FROM: District of Sooke

DATE: September 20, 2017

PROJECT: **DeMamiel Creek Pedestrian Crossing
Request for Proposals**

FILE NO: 1790-20

1. PRECEDENCE

1. This Addendum shall form an integral part of the Work. This Addendum shall take precedence over all requirements of the Proposal Documents with which it may prove to be at variance unless otherwise qualified by the District.

2. PURPOSE

1. This addendum is in response to enquiries as per Section 4a of the Request for Proposal document.

3. QUESTIONS

The questions below have been modified for clarity:

1. Does the District have topographic survey and tied to a legal plan for the sites considered? If not, will this be provided or is it part of the scope?

Response:

Topographic survey is not available for the sites however surveying will not be required for the conceptual analysis and preliminary design. The detailed design of the preferred site will require civil surveying and favorable consideration will be given to proponents offering highest levels of design within the study budget. Please note that the District has 1-meter contour features available.

2. Will proponents be required to evaluate other crossing locations than the two identified?

Response:

As per section 2B of the RFP document the District of Sooke is seeking to retain the services of a professional consulting team whose qualifications and innovative approach will develop up to



Addendum #2

three conceptual designs. The successful proponent is encouraged to propose other locations for potential crossings.

3. Have elevations for Q100/Q200 been determined? Is there any flow monitoring data for the creek?

Response:
No.

4. Does the District prefer a type or style of bridge, railings or decking for this crossing?

Response:
No.

5. Is lighting required for the bridge?

Response:
No.

6. Does the bridge design need to accommodate maintenance vehicles or just pedestrian traffic?

Response:
The design should accommodate pedestrian traffic.

7. Does conceptual or preliminary design need to include renderings of potential bridges?

Response:
The process has three steps: conceptual design (up to three sites), preliminary design (two sites) and detailed design (one site). It is expected that conceptual drawings or renderings be presented for step two and three.

8. Is archeological information available for this area?

Response:

Archeological overviews have been conducted in this general area and will be made available to the successful proponent. It is expected that the successful proponent will work with the District of Sooke and the Archeology Branch (Ministry of Forests, Lands and Natural Resource Operations) for more detailed data specific to the sites. It is not expected that an archeologist will need to be retained under the scope of this project.